

ISSN(O): 2319-8753

ISSN(P): 2347-6710



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025





|www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1410105|

Interrelation between Stability Conditions and Beta Invariant Substructures

D. Nethra Sharon¹, Dr. D. Radha²

Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, A.P.C. Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi,
Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India¹
Associate Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, A.P.C. Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi,
Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India²

Corresponding author: sharonnethra@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The present study investigates the interrelation between stability conditions and invariant substructures in β -nearrings, emphasizing how variations in stability properties influence the internal algebraic organization of these systems. Nearrings, being noncommutative generalizations of rings, allow one-sided distributivity, leading to the emergence of rich and flexible substructures. Within this framework, β -nearrings extend classical concepts by introducing β -operations that preserve certain structural consistencies under homomorphic and compositional mappings. This paper explores the behavior of pseudo-stable, strong β -nearrings, and reverse pseudo-stable β -nearrings, analyzing their effect on invariant β -subgroups and ideal formations. The study establishes that in strong β -nearrings, every β -subgroup exhibits invariance under specific distributive operations, while pseudo-stable β -nearrings demonstrate idempotent stability, ensuring predictable algebraic outcomes. Furthermore, it is shown that zero symmetric β -nearrings with mate functions give rise to Boolean algebra structures over the set of β -subgroups, revealing a profound connection between stability, idempotency, and logical structure. The results contribute to a deeper understanding of how stability conditions govern substructure behavior in β -nearrings and open avenues for further exploration in the classification and application of near-ring-based algebraic systems.

KEYWORDS - β-nearrings , homomorphism, idempotent , Boolean, distributivity

I. INTRODUCTION

Near-rings are algebraic structures that extend and generalize the concept of rings by relaxing one or more of their defining axioms, particularly the distributive law. Formally, a near-ring $(N, +, \cdot)$ is a nonempty set N equipped with two binary operations such that (N,+) forms an additive group (not necessarily abelian), and (N,·) forms a semigroup. The crucial distinction from rings is that multiplication in a near-ring is required to be only one-sided distributive either right or left distributive over addition. In this paper, N denotes a right near-ring. This single-sided distributivity allows a far greater degree of freedom than the ring structure, leading to the emergence of many novel algebraic behaviours that are absent in fully distributive systems. The study of near-rings arises naturally in various branches of mathematics and theoretical computer science. Near-rings appear in combinatorics, where they describe systems of functions under pointwise addition and composition; in automata theory, where transition mappings form near-ring structures; and in geometry, where certain incidence-preserving transformations can be modelled using near-ring operations. Furthermore, the endomorphism near-ring of a group—consisting of all self-maps of a group under pointwise addition and composition—provides one of the most fundamental examples illustrating the generality of the near-ring concept. Because a near-ring lacks full distributivity, its internal structure is much richer and more flexible than that of a ring. This asymmetry between left and right operations gives rise to a variety of interesting substructures, such as ideals, quasi-ideals, and bi-ideals, each exhibiting unique behaviours depending on the distributive side considered. These substructures play a crucial role in understanding how different algebraic properties, such as idempotency, stability, and commutativity, interact within the framework of near-rings.





|www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1410105|

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1

A right near ring is a non-empty set N together with two binary operations "+" and "." such that

- (i) (N, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian)
- (ii) (N, \cdot) is a semigroup
- (iii) $(n_1 + n_2)n_3 = n_1n_3 + n_2n_3$ for all $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N$

A near ring N is said to be zero symmetric if $N = N_0$ where $N_0 = \{n \in N / n0 = 0\}$

Definition 2.3

A subgroup M of the additive group (N, +) is called a left N-subgroup of N if $MN \subseteq M$

Definition 2.4

A subgroup M of the additive group (N, +) is called a N-subgroup of N if $NM \subseteq M$

Definition 2.5

A subgroup M of the additive group (N, +) is called an invariant N-subgroup of N if $NM \subseteq M$ and $MN \subseteq M$

Notation 2.7

- E denotes the set of all idempotent of N (e in N is called an idempotent if $e^2 = e$).
- L denotes the set of all nilpotent of N (a in N is nilpotent if $a^k = 0$ for some positive integer k).
- $C(N) = \{n \in N / nx = xn \ \forall \ x \ in \ N\}$ centre of N.

Definition 2.8

A normal subgroup of I of (N, +) is called *ideal* of N if

- b) b) $\forall n, n' \in N$ and $\forall i \in N$ such that $n(n' + i) nn' \in I$

Normal subgroup R of (N, +) with a) is called right ideals of N and normal subgroup R of (N, +) is said to be left ideal.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1

Let N be strong B_1 near ring. If N is β_4 near ring then $Nax \subseteq Na \cap Nx$ for all $a, x \in N$.

Proof

Let $y \in Nax$ for all $a, x \in N$

For some $n \in N$, $y = nax = (na)x \in Nx$

 $\Rightarrow y \in Nx$

→ (1)

Now again let $y \in Nax$ for all $a, x \in N$

Since N is strong B_1 near ring, $y \in Nxa$ for all $a, x \in N$

For some $m \in N$, $y = mxa = (mx)a \in Na$

 $\Rightarrow y \in Na$

--→ (2)

From equations (1) and (2) it follows $y \in Na \cap Nx$

Therefore, $Nax \subseteq Na \cap Nx$ for all $a, x \in N$.

Theorem 3.2

Let N be a β_4 near ring with identity 1. Then

a) N is zero symmetric b) Every N-subgroup of N is invariant.

Proof

a) Since N is β_4 near ring for all $x, y \in N$, xNy = Nyx





|www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1410105|

Put y = 1, x. N. 1 = N. 1. $x \Rightarrow xN = Nx$ ($\because N$ has identity 1) Now when x = 0, 0N = N0 = 0 ($\because 0N = 0$) Therefore N0 = 0, which proves N is zero symmetric.

b) Let M be a N-subgroup of N.By definition, $NM \subseteq M$ \longrightarrow (1) Also, we know that, $M = \sum_{x \in M} Nx$ \longrightarrow (2)

Consider NxN = N(xN.1) (: N has identity 1) = NN.1.x (: N is β_4 near ring)

 $= NNx \subseteq Nx \qquad \qquad \cdots (3)$

Now $MN = (\sum_{x \in M} Nx)N$ [By equation (2)] $\subseteq \sum_{x \in M} (NxN)$ $\subseteq \sum_{x \in M} Nx$ [By equation (3)] = M [By equation (2)]

Therefore, $MN \subseteq M$ \longrightarrow (4)

From equations (1) and (4) we conclude every N-subgroup of N is invariant.

Theorem 3.3

Let N be a pseudo-stable β_4 near ring with idempotent then it satisfies xNx = Nx

Proof

Since N is a β_4 near ring we have,

xNy = Nyx

xNx = Nxx since N is pseudo-stable

= Nx since N has idemportency

Therefore xNx = Nx.

Theorem 3.4

Let N be β_4 near ring with idempotent element $e \in E$ and is commutative then it is stable.

Proof

Let N be a β_4 near ring then,

xNy = Nyx

For x = y = e, we have

 $\Rightarrow eNe = Nee = Ne = eN$ (since $e \in E$ and commutative)

Therefore, satisfies stableness.

Theorem 3.5

Let N be a zero symmetric β_4 near ring with mate function f. Then the set J of all N-subgroup is a Boolean Algebra under the usual set inclusion.

Proof

Let *J* be set of all *N*-subgroup (i.e.) $J = \{Nx/x \in N\}$. Since *N* is zero symmetric β_4 near ring with mate function *f*, we have $E \subseteq C(N)$ and let *N* be abelian.

Also $Nx \cap Ny = Nxy$ and Nx + Ny = Nz for some $z \in N$

 \Rightarrow *J* is lattice under usual set inclusion.

Since N has mate function f. For every $x, y, z \in N$ x = xf(x)x, y = yf(x)y, z = zf(z)z for some f(x), f(y), $f(z) \in N$ and f(x)x, f(y)y, $f(z)z \in E$. Also Nx = Nf(x)x, Ny = Nf(y)y, Nz = Nf(z)z.

Consider $(f(x)xf(y)y)^2 = (f(x)xf(y)y)(f(x)xf(y)y)$ = f(x)x(f(y)yf(x)x)f(y)y) = f(x)xf(x)xf(y)yf(y)y $= (f(x)x)^2(f(y)y)^2$ = f(x)xf(y)y $\Rightarrow f(x)xf(y)y \in E.$





|www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1410105|

```
Similarly, f(x)xf(z)z \in E.
Now we show that I is a distributive lattice. For all nx, Ny, Nz \in I we have
 Nx \cap (Ny + Nz) = Nf(x)x \cap (Nf(y)y + Nf(z)z
                                 = Nf(x)x \cap N(f(y)y + f(z)z - f(y)yf(z)z)
                                 = Nf(x)x(f(y)y + f(z)z - f(y)yf(z)z)
                                 = N(f(x)xf(y)y + f(x)xf(z)z - f(x)xf(y)yf(z)z)
                                 = N(f(x)xf(y)y + f(x)xf(z)z - (f(x)x)^2f(y)yf(z)z)
                                 = N(f(x)xf(y)y + f(x)xf(z)z - f(x)xf(y)yf(x)xf(z)z)
                                 = N(f(x)xf(y)y) + N(f(x)xf(z)z)
                                 = Nf(x)x \cap Nf(y)y) + Nf(x)x \cap Nf(z)z
                                 = Nx \cap Ny + Nx \cap Nz
\Rightarrow J is a distributive lattice.
We claim that, if Nx \subseteq Ny \subseteq Nz then there exist w \in N such that Ny \cap Nw = Nx and Ny + Nw = Nz.
Let Nx \subseteq Ny \subseteq Nz \Longrightarrow Nf(x)x \subseteq Nf(y)y \subseteq Nf(z)z. Then there exist n_1, n_2 \in N such that f(x)x = n_1f(y)y = n_1f(y)
n_2 f(z)z
Now f(x)xf(y)y = (n_1f(y)y)f(y)y = n_1(f(y)y)^2 = n_1f(y)y = f(x)x
Similarly, f(x)xf(z)z = f(x)x
Now f(y)yf(x)x = f(y)y(n_1f(y)y) = (n_1f(y)y)f(y)y = n_1f(y)y = f(x)x
Similarly, f(z)zf(x)x = f(x)x
We get, f(x)xf(y)y = f(y)yf(x)x = f(x)xf(z)z = f(z)zf(x)x = f(x)x
Similarly, since Ny \subseteq Nz \Rightarrow Nf(y)y \subseteq Nf(z)z. Then there exist n_3 \in N such that f(y)y = n_3f(z)z
Consider f(y)yf(z)z = (n_3f(z)z)f(z)z = n_3f(z)z = f(y)y
And f(z)zf(y)y = f(z)z(n_3f(z)z) = (n_3f(z)z)f(z)z = n_3f(z)z = f(y)y
Again, we get f(y)yf(z)z = f(z)zf(y)y = f(y)y
Let w = f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y
 w^2 = (f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y)^2
        = (f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y)(f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y)
        = f(x)x(f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y) + f(z)z(f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y) - f(y)y)(f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y) + f(x)x + f(x)y - f(y)y - f(y)
        = f(x)xf(x)x + f(x)xf(z)z - f(x)xf(y)y + f(z)zf(x)x + f(z)zf(z)z -
                                                                                                                                                                  f(z)zf(y)y -
(f(y)yf(x)x + f(y)yf(z)z - f(y)yf(y)y)
        = f(x)x + f(x)x - f(x)x + f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y - f(x)x + f(y)y - f(y)y
        = f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y = w
Now we can say w is an idempotent element.
Consider f(y)yw = wf(y)y
                                 = (f(x)x + f(z)z - f(y)y)(f(y)y)
                                 = (f(x)xf(y)y + f(z)zf(y)y - f(y)yf(y)y)
                                 = f(x)x + f(y)y - f(y)y = f(x)x
Therefore, Nf(y)y \cap Nw = Nf(y)yw = Nf(x)x \Rightarrow Ny \cap Nw = Nx
Consider Nf(y)y + Nw = N(f(y)y + w - f(y)yw)
                                             = N(f(y)y + w - f(x)x) = Nf(z)z = Nf(z)z
                                                                                         \implies Ny + Nw = Nz
```

From above observations it is clear that *J* is a Boolean Algebra.

Theorem 3.6

Let *N* be a β_4 near ring that is reverse pseudo stable and *I* be completely prime ideal of *N*. If $aNb \subseteq I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

Proof

Let $aNb \subseteq I$

By definition of β_4 near ring we have for every $a, b \in N$, aNb = Nba

 $\Rightarrow Nba \subseteq I$

Then for every $n \in N$, $nba \in I$





|www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1410105|

Since completely prime, $(nb)a \in I \Rightarrow nb \in I$ or $a \in I$ Case i)If $a \in I$. Then the proof is done. Case ii)If $a \notin I$ then $nb \in I$ $\Rightarrow Nb \subseteq I$ For any $b \in N$, we get $bb = b^2 \in I$ By complete primeness, $b \in I$ Therefore, we conclude, $aNb \subseteq I \Rightarrow a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

Theorem 3.7

Anti-homomorphism image of β_4 near ring is β_1 near ring

Proof

```
Let \varphi: N \to M be anti-homomorphism where N is \beta_4 near ring Consider \varphi(xNy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(N)\varphi(y)
= \varphi(y)\varphi(N)\varphi(x) \text{ (since anti-homomorphism)}
= \varphi(yNx)
= \varphi(Nxy) \text{ (since } \beta_4 \text{ near ring)}
By definition of \beta_1 near ring,
```

By definition of β_1 near ring, we get M as β_1 near ring.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gunter Pilz, Near rings, North Holland, Amsterdam, Publ.Co.,1983
- [2] N. H. MC. Coy, The Theory of Rings, Macmillan & Co, 1970
- [3] S. Suryanarayanan and N. Ganesan, *Stable and Pseudo Stable Near Rings*, Indian J.Pure and Appl.Math19 (12) December, 1988, 1206 1216. [4] P. Dheena, *A Generalization of Strongly Regular Near-Rings*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math 20(1) January 1989, 58 63
- [5] C.Dhivya, D.Radha, Near Rings A glimpse, Maha Publications, ISBN: 978-91-942832-2-5.
- [6] S.R. Veronica Valli, K.Bala Deepa Arasi, *Idiosyncratic Sub-Structures of Near Semi Rings*, Indian Journal of Natural Sciences, International Bimonthly (Print) Open Access ISSN: 0976 0997, Vol.15, Issue 87, December 2024
- [7] D.Radha, R. Rajeswari, *On Quasi Weak Commutative Semi Near Ring*, International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM), ISSN: 2456-1304, Volume 4, Issue 1, January 2019.
- [8] S. R Veronica Valli, K. Bala Deepa Arasi, *Endorsing Weak Commutativity obliquely over a Regular Semi Near Ring*, Shodha Prabha, UGC Care listed Journal, ISSN: 0974-8946, Volume 48, Issue 01, No. 4: 2023.
- [9] D.Radha, S.R.Veronica Valli, R.Soundarya, R.Geetha, *A Study on SR Near rings*, Science, Technology and Development, ISSN: 0950 0707.
- [10] D. Radha, M. Vinutha, C. Raja Lakshmi, *A Study on GS near ring*, Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), February 2019, Volume 6, Issue 2, ISSN-2349-5162.
- [11] S. Silviya, R.Balakrishnan, T. Tamizh Chelvam, Strong S_1 -Near Rings, International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 14, 685 691.
- [12] S.R. Veronica Valli, Dr.K. Bala Deepa Arasi, S.R. Shimony Rathna Kumari. *On Regative Semigroups*, Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2023 International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), ISSN: 2320-2882.











INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY







9940 572 462 🔯 6381 907 438 🔀 ijirset@gmail.com

